



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,862	07/06/2001	Cong Thanh Dinh	577-516 (T&B 1632)	3336

23869 7590 08/13/2003

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE
SYOSSET, NY 11791

EXAMINER

STERLING, AMY JO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3632

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

09/899,862

Applicant(s)

DINH ET AL.

Examiner

Amy J. Sterling

Art Unit

3632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 17-20,22,23 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-16 and 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2 and 8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is the third non-final Office Action for application number 09/899,862 Hanger Bar Assembly, filed on 7/6/01. Claims are pending. This is the second non-final Action is in response to applicant's reply dated 6/12/03, paper no. 14. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 13-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5044582 to Walters and in view of United States Patent No. 5803653 to Zufetti and further in view of 4909405 to Kerr, Jr.

Walters shows the basic inventive concept including, a hanger bar assembly for supporting an outlet box between spaced apart support elements having an extruded first channel member (12) being longitudinally slidably engagable with an extruded second channel member (11), of a substantially similar cross section profile, forming a longitudinally adjustable support bar, the first and second channels each having a channel interior, and a portion of the first channel (12) extends into the second channel (11) interior, and a clamping device (16, 17), wherein the clamp includes a bracket (15) slidably positionable over the support bar and engagable with the outlet box (15), the clamping device (18) further including a fastener (21) securable with the bracket such that upon securing the fastener to the bracket (15) the first (12) and second channel members (11) are clamped together between the bracket and the outlet box. Walters

Art Unit: 3632

also shows a securement device (13), which includes a pair of end plates, with a spike, (39) with an elongate portion, having a substantially uniform cross-section terminating in a tapered endpoint, (the spike has a plurality of tapers and end points, See Fig. 8).

Walters also shows wherein the end plates (13) are secured to ends of the support bar (10) for securing the support bar to the spaced support elements

Walters does not show wherein the first and second channel members include apertures formed therein to receive fastening hardware to secure the end plates to the support bar.

Kerr Jr. shows a support bar (10) which has first and second channel members (12, 14) with end plates (28) wherein the end plates (28) are secured to the ends of the support bar (10) wherein the first and second channel members (12, 14) include apertures (See Fig. 2) formed therein to receive fastening hardware (52) to secure the end plates to the support bar, used for a more secure attachment of the end plate to the support bar. Therefore it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art to have modified the support bar of Walters to have an aperture, in order to have a more secure attachment of the end plate to the support bar.

Walters and Kerr, Jr. do not show wherein the second channel extends into the first channel interior when the first and second channels are engaged, or a plurality of longitudinally extending first grooves and a plurality of longitudinally extending first rails, the second channel member having a plurality of longitudinally extending second rails and a plurality of longitudinally extending second grooves, the first rails being slidably received in the second grooves and the second rails being slidably received in the first

Art Unit: 3632

grooves such that rotational movement between the first and second channel members is resisted or wherein the first and second channel members upon engagement form a plurality of laterally spaced interlocking rail and groove combinations that prevent lateral separation of the first channel member from the second channel member.

Zuffetti shows channel shaped members (2) wherein the first channel member extends into the second channel member and the second channel extends into the first channel interior when the first and second channels are engaged, wherein the channel members are slidingly engagable whereby the first channel member has a plurality of longitudinally extending first grooves (between 4 and 5) and a plurality of longitudinally extending first rails (5), the second channel member having a plurality of longitudinally extending second rails (7) and a plurality of longitudinally extending second grooves (between 7 and other side wall of channel member), the first rails being slidingly received in the second grooves and the second rails being slidingly received in the first grooves and wherein the first and second channel members upon engagement form a plurality of laterally spaced interlocking rail and groove combinations that prevent lateral separation of the first channel member from the second channel member, used so that rotational movement between the first and second channel members is resisted.

Therefore it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Zuffetti to have modified the channel members of Walters and Kerr, Jr. by modifying their shape to have the configuration shown above, in order to prevent rotation between channel members.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5044582 to Walters and in view of United States Patent No. 5803653 to Zufetti and 4909405 to Kerr, Jr. and further in view of United States Patent No. Des. 395816 to Colodny.

Walters, Kerr, Jr. and Zufetti show the basic inventive concept with the exception that they do not show wherein the first channel member includes a first and second spaced side wall extending from a connecting wall to define a channel, the first side wall including one of the plurality of apertures formed therein and wherein the first channel member second side wall includes a second and a third of the plurality of apertures formed therein.

Colodny shows a brace member in which teaches a channel member which includes a first and second spaced side wall extending from a connecting wall to define a channel, the first side wall including one of the plurality of apertures formed therein and wherein the first channel member second side wall includes a second and a third of the plurality of apertures formed therein, used in order to more securely support the desired object to the brace. Therefore it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Colodny to have modified the design of channel members of Walters, Kerr, Jr. and Zufetti by modifying their shape to have the configuration shown above, in order to more securely attach a device to the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see paper no. 14, filed 6/12/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-7, 9-10, 13-16 and 21 under 35 USC 103(a) of Kerr Jr. in view of Zuffetti have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Walters and Zuffetti.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-20, 22 and 23 are allowed.

Claims 2 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not show the first and second channel members including a plurality of longitudinally extending shims extending therefrom. The prior art also does not show the hanger bar assembly whereby a first channel member being longitudinally slidably engagable with a second channel member forming a longitudinally adjustable support bar, the first channel and the second channel having the same cross-sectional profiles. The prior art of record shows substantially similar cross-section profiles in that the channel members are the same shape, but does not show the same cross-sectional profile in that one channel member must be smaller than

Art Unit: 3632

the other in order for them to slide together. In the present invention, the channel members are the same size. The prior art does not show wherein the first and the second channel members have a generally U-shaped cross-sectional profile, and the second channel member is inverted with respect to the first channel member.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-305-3597 or 703-305-3598 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.



AJS
Amy J. Sterling
8/7/03



LESLIE A. BRAUN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER